x SECRET			DENTIAL 11038	UNCLAS	SIFIED
cApproved lighteles	se 2003/12/	04 : CIA-	CONTRACT NO. 171A0001000 1015	37°7	
ONTHAUT THOLLOTTO					2
O:					
			INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If fina	l, so state)	
			ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE		
			<u> 14 June 1970 </u>		2
AME OF CONTRACTOR					
YPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE					
Pabricate a Migh Procis	tone thanes	i Antonomor	₩.\$ #4 ***		
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE	Tite neetes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY R	EMAIN WITHIN A	LLOCATED
YES	NO		FUNDS YES NO IF ANSWE OMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF S	PONSORING OFFI	CE, ON
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED .	55 as of 3	i lon Ila	REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, IND DITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.	ICATE MAGNITUD	E OF AD-
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -		Mental			
· · · · ·	NAL REPORT. P	PROTOTYPE,	OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVE	D FROM THE CON	TRACTOR
DURING THE PERIOD?	\square NO (If)	ves, give	details on reverse side.)	_	No
HAS GOVERNMENT OWNED PROP (If yes, indicate items, quant	ity, and cost	t on rever	CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? se side.)	L ' ' ' ' '	L¥ "`
		I NCEN	TIVES		
S THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT	YES	□ NO	NOTE:		
IF YES, CHECK TYPE	Mark.	#2647.7#	USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.		ON.
		. EX.4	FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCE	MILLAR CAMPONI	
COST AWARD PERFOR	RMANCE DEL			NITTE EVALUATION	
	OVERALL I	PERFORMAN	CE OF CONTRACTOR		
1. OUTSTANDING	OVERALL I	PERFORMAN	CE OF CONTRACTOR		
	OVERALL I	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAG	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY		Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD	OVERALL I 4.	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAG ERAGE NIMUM ACCE	CE OF CONTRACTOR E 7. UNSATISFACTORY	Declass I NIMA/DO	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD	OVERALL I 4.	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAG ERAGE NIMUM ACCE	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY	Declass I NIMA/DO	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE O	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAG ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS	CE OF CONTRACTOR E 7. UNSATISFACTORY	Declass I NIMA/DO	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE O	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAG ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS	CE OF CONTRACTOR 7. UNSATISFACTORY EPTABLE FACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE ED ACTION WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING	Declass I NIMA/DO	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE O REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAG ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY THE PERSON OF MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE THE PERSON OF MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE THE PERSON OF MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE	Declass I NIMA/DO	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAG ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY EPTABLE SFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE ED ACTION WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE	Declass I NIMA/DO	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY EPTABLE SFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE ED ACTION WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE OTHER (Specify)	Declass I NIMA/DO	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORAND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY EPTABLE SFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE ED ACTION WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORAND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORAND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORAND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING: ITEM	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORAND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING: ITEM ROTOTYPES RAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OR REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORAND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING: ITEM PROTOTYPES PRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERAL APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING: ITEM PROTOTYPES PRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER CONTACT WITH CONTRACT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND REC'D	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE. THE FOLLOWING: ITEM PROTOTYPES PRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND REC'D	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERAL APPLICABLE. THE FOLLOWING: ITEM PROTOTYPES ORAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND REC'D	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE. THE FOLLOWING: ITEM PROTOTYPES PRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND REC'D	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE. THE FOLLOWING: ITEM ROTOTYPES RAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS RODUCTION AND/OR OTHER CONTACT WITH CONTRACT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND REC'D	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k
1. OUTSTANDING 2. EXCELLENT 3. VERY GOOD IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OREASONS ON REVERSE SIDE. CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED CLOSE OUT IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORAND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERA APPLICABLE. THE FOLLOWING: ITEM ROTOTYPES RAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS RODUCTION AND/OR OTHER ND ITEMS PATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACT	OVERALL I 4. AB 5. AV 6. MI F CONTRACTOR T PUT COMMENT BLE ITEMS UND REC'D	PERFORMAN OVE AVERAGE ERAGE NIMUM ACCE IS UNSATIS RECOMMEND TO NEVER DER THE COL	CE OF CONTRACTOR TO UNSATISFACTORY TO UNSATISFACT	Declass I NIMA/DO INDICATE	Review k

25X1

	SECRET	CONFIDENTIAL	UNCLASSIFIED
	Approved Fo	R Release 2003/12/04 : CIA-RD P78B05171A00010	0010137-7 FINAL
25X1	appears to be on e	. soulter visited on 13 and 13 March 1	
25X1	targets, manyra	est visit was provided with several hi wride, retidies and symptom estable with onts of the optical system as they are com-	which to test the
25X1	The main offer ing the clustronic	ert at theplant is gotting two clean cyctoms. The clean room is about comple the electronic chasses will be packaged in a	roos ready and paskag- te and when it becomes
25X1	ross. project tract for the Imag should be ready for visited APIC. This	sausger visited on 19 March 1969 to a Analysia System. The project is just a prediction the sud of May 1969. prosecution 29 March 1969 and the purpo	monitor the subton- 25X1 bout on schedule and cleat manager also 25X1 ne was to review the
25X1	is completely sati cated on the first next me for the west of 1	April 1969.	that is to be fabri- a Stores Comparator. contrast is scheduled
	70%, this includes The PERT sole and the actual wor is not in a gritic room preparation (ago of this report, the percent of funds \$6% expended and \$2% obligated. Idule calls for 53.9% of the work to be co % completed in 51.9%. This is not alarmi all path item. The slippage actually occu- ters () and this is caused by the recent ted to be completed well before it is act	mpleted at this time mg since the olippeas rred in the clean had reather in Colif.
·		•	, and the second

COMFIDENTIAL

SECRET

UNCLASSIFIED